Applications of Open Source and Open Science in Behavior Analysis

Shawn P. Gilroy, PhD BCBA-D NCSP Louisiana State University

Email: <u>sgilroy1@lsu.edu</u> GitHub: <u>https://www.github.com/miyamot0</u> ResearchGate: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Shawn_Gilroy</u>









What Prompted the "Crisis" in research?

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200303.t002

• Research practices, described as questionable, such as:

- · Excluding non-responders to exaggerate the effects of treatments/interventions
- Using inappropriate testing to produce significant results, e.g. p hacking
- · Publication practices:
 - Only publishing works with very large effects (i.e., not publishing small, null effects)
 - Biases in publication rates (Sham & Smith, 2014)
- See Nosek et al., (2012) for a broad review

| Questionable Research Practice | Psychology Italy Agnoli et al. [16] | Psychology USA John et al. [17] | Ecology | Evolution | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Not reporting response (outcome) variables that failed to reach statistical significance# | 47.9 | 63.4 | 64.1 | 63.7 | |
| | (41.3-54.6) | (59.1-67.7) | (59.1-68.9) | (57.2–69.7) | |
| Collecting more data after inspecting whether the results are statistically significant# | 53.2 | 55.9 | 36.9 | 50.7 | |
| | (46.6-59.7) | (51.5-60.3) | (32.4-42.0) | (43.9-57.6) | |
| Rounding-off a p value or other quantity to meet a pre-specified threshold# | 22.2 | 22.0 | 27.3 | 17.5 | |
| | (16.7-27.7) | (18.4-25.7) | (23.1-32.0) | (13.1-23.0) | |
| Deciding to exclude data points after first checking the impact on statistical significance | 39.7 | 38.2 | 24.0 | 23.9 | |
| | (33.3-46.2) | (33.9-42.6) | (19.9-28.6) | (18.5-30.2) | |
| Reporting an unexpected finding as having been predicted from the start# | 37.4 | 27.0 | 48.5 | 54.2 | |
| | (31.0-43.9) | (23.1-30.9) | (43.6-53.6) | (47.7-60.6) | |
| Filling in missing data points without identifying those data as simulated* | 2.3 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 2.0 | |
| | (0.3-4.2) | (0.0-1.3) | (2.8-7.1) | (0.8-5.1) | |





Key words: publication bias, behavior analysis, single-subject research, autism, pivotal response treatment

| Iran | sparency and Opennes | s Pr | omo | 10IJ | n (10 | P) |
|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| • TOP o | uidelines are designed to support | Summary of the Levels 1 to 3 are incre | e eight standards a easingly stringent for e | nd three levels of ach standard. Level O o | the TOP guidelines ffers a comparison that does | not meet the standard. |
| desira | ble scientific practices and behavior | Citation standards | LEVEL 0 | LEVEL 1 | LEVEL 2 | LEVEL 3 |
| 1. S | Standards for Citation | Citation standards | citation of data, code, and materialsor says nothing. | citation of data in guidelines to authors with clear rules and examples. | citation for data and materials used, consistent with journal's author guidelines. | appropriate citation for data and materials is provided that follows journal's author guidelines. |
| <u>_</u> | Data Transparency | Data transparency | Journal encourages data sharing—or says nothing. | Article states whether data are available and, if so, where to access them. | Data must be posted to a trusted repository. Exceptions must be identified at article submission. | Data must be posted to a trusted repository, and reported analyses will be reproduced independently before publication. |
| 4 | Analytic (Code) Transparency | Analytic methods (code) transparency | Journal encourages code sharing – or says nothing. | Article states whether code is available and, if so, where to access them. | Code must be posted to a trusted repository. Exceptions must be identified at article submission. | Code must be posted to a trusted repository, and reported analyses will be reproduced independently before arbitration. |
| | Research Design Transparency | Research materials transparency | Journal encourages materials sharing—or says nothing | Article states whether materials are available and, if so, where to access them. | Materials must be posted to a trusted repository. Exceptions must be identified at article submission. | Materials must be posted to a trusted repository, and reported analyses will be reproduced independently |
| | reregistration of Study Designs | Design and analysis transparency | Journal encourages design and analysis transparency or says nothing. | Journal articulates design transparency standards. | Journal requires adherence to design transparency standards for review and publication. | Journal requires and enforces adherence to design transpar- ency standards for review and publication. |
| 7. P 8 R | Preregistration of Study Analyses Replication Studies | Preregistration of studies | Journal says nothing. | Journal encourages preregistration of studies and provides link in article to preregistration if it exists. | Journal encourages preregis- tration of studies and provides link in article and certification of meeting preregistration badge requirements. | Journal requires preregistratio of studies and provides link an badge in article to meeting requirements. |
| | • | Preregistration of analysis plans | Journal says nothing. | Journal encourages preanalysis plans and provides link in article to registered analysis plan if it exists. | Journal encourages preanaly- sis plans and provides link in article and certification of meeting registered analysis plan backes requirements. | Journal requires preregistratio of studies with analysis plans and provides link and badge in article to meeting requirement |
| • /i | Il focus on 2, 2, and 4 | Replication | Journal discourages submission of replication studiesor says nothing. | Journal encourages submission of replication studies. | Journal encourages submis- sion of replication studies and conducts blind review of results. | Journal uses Registered Reports as a submission option for replication studies with pee review before observing the |



| | LSI |
|---|----------------------------------|
| What is Version Control? | |
| Version Control (VC) = method of tracking change Example: Data set would grow from Experiment 1 → E: Example: Study materials may change following revision | e over time xperiment 2 on |
| VC is particularly suited to scientific applications Others may clone materials and the submit changes up Changes are tracked over time (with timestamps) Contributors/authors are clearly indicated | ostream to the master copy |
| Changes made to the data and materials are clearly documented | master branch merge |
| VC is a very transparent way to track research | new_feature |

What is GitHub?

- GitHub is one of *many* VC tools using the Git protocol (to track changes)
- GitHub is particularly useful because it supports multiple interfaces
 - Command line interface (efficient for programmers like myself)
 - Graphical user interface (supportive for non-programmers; e.g. clinicians)
- GitHub is a robust and accessible way to utilize VC methods





















